# Exam TAU January 2014 Solution

Problem 1.

We say that a binary relation P over the space  $X = R^n$  satisfies Property I if the statement xPy (the relation between x and y) depends only on the equalities between the components of the two vectors. Formally, *P* satisfies Property *I* if  $aPb \Leftrightarrow cPd$  for any four vectors a, b, c and d that satisfy (i)  $a_i = a_i \Leftrightarrow c_i = c_i$ , (ii)  $b_i = b_i \Leftrightarrow d_i = d_i$  and (iii)  $a_i = b_i \Leftrightarrow c_i = d_i$ .

**Denote**  $Y = \{x | \forall i \neq j, x_i \neq x_i\}$  as the set of all vectors vectors that are composed of *n* different numbers.

a. Give an example (for n = 2) of non-degenerated preference relation on X that satisfies property *I*.

Answer:

Let *P* be the preference relation represented by:  $U(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x_1 \neq x_2 \\ 0 & \text{if } x_1 = x_2 \end{cases}$ .

Clearly, it is a well defined preference relation and the relation between U(x) and U(y)depends only on the equalities between components of x and the equalities between components of *y* and thus the preference relation satisfies property *I*.

## Show that any preference relation satisfying property *I*:

b. is indifferent between the vector (1,2,3) and any of the vectors (4,2,5), (2,3,1) and (4,5,6).

## Answer:

Note first that for any two vectors in Y (!), Property I implies that if  $a_i = b_j \iff c_i = d_j$ for any *i*, *j* then  $aPb \Leftrightarrow cPd$ .

1. Let x = (1, 2, 3) and y = (4, 2, 5). Whatever can be said about x and y can be said about y and x ( $x_i = y_i$  iff  $y_i = x_i$ ) and thus xIy.

2. Let x = (1,2,3), y = (2,3,1) and z = (3,1,2). Note that  $x_i = y_i \Leftrightarrow y_i = z_i \Leftrightarrow z_i = x_i$ . Thus, xPy implies yPz and zPx; yPx implies zPy and xPz. Thus, in both cases, xIy.

3. Let x = (1, 2, 3) and y = (4, 5, 6). Again,  $x_i = y_i$  iff  $y_i = x_i$  for any *i*, *j* and thus *xIy*.

### c. is indifferent between any $x, y \in Y$ satisfying $x_i \neq y_j$ for any i, j. Answer:

Choose x and y such that  $x_i \neq y_j$  for any i, j. Assume xPy. As in 3 above,  $x_i \neq y_j$  iff  $y_i \neq x_j$  for any *i*, *j*. Thus, *xIy*.

## d. is indifferent between any $x, y \in Y$ where x is a permutation of y. Answer:

Let *x* and *y* be two vectors such that *y* is a permutation of *x*. Thus, there exists a permutation on  $\{1, ..., n\}$  such that  $y_{\sigma(i)} = x_i$  for any *i*. Define a sequence of vectors  $\{x^i\}$  such that  $x^0 = x$  and  $x_{\sigma(i)}^k = x_i^{k-1}$ . Thus, any  $x^k$  is a permutation of *x*,  $x^0 = x$  and  $x^1 = y$ . There exists a minimal integer *K* such that  $x^K = x^0$ .

Assume xPy, i.e.,  $x^0Px^1$ . By definition,  $x_i^0 = x_j^1$  iff  $j = \sigma(i)$ . Since  $x_i^1 = x_j^2 \Leftrightarrow j = \sigma(i)$ as well,  $x^1Px^2$ . Similarly  $x^2Px^3, \dots, x^{K-1}Px^K = x^0$ . By transitivity,  $x^1Px^0$ . Thus,  $xPy \Rightarrow yPx$ . An analgous argument applies in the case of yPx. Thus, xIy.

### e. Is indifferent between any $x, y \in Y$ .

### Answer:

Choose  $z \in Y$  such that all components in z different from all componenets in x and y. By (c) xIz and yIz and thus xIy.

# f. (much more difficult) Characterize the set of preference relations satisfying Property *I*.

See: Rubinstein, Ariel (2002) Definable Preferences: Another Example (Searching for a Boyfriend in a Foreign Town) in "The Scope of Logic, Methodology and Philosophy of Science", *Proceedings of the 11th International Congress of Logic*, ed. Peter Gardenfors et al. Kluwer, vol I, 235-243.

(http://arielrubinstein.tau.ac.il/papers/65.pdf).

## Problem 2

Consider an economy with two commodities and two agents, in which agent *i* owns an initial bundle consisting only of commodity *i*. Agent 2 maximizes a preference relation (satisfying strong monotonicity, strict convexity and continuity). In contrast Agent 1 has a preference relation in mind (satisfying all of the above properties) and given a price vector he is interested in selling as much as he can of commodity 1, provided that his final bundle is no worse than his initial one (according to his preferences).

#### a. Define an appropriate equilibrium concept for this economy. Answer:

Let  $e = (e^1, e^2)$  be the initial allocation. Let  $\geq^i$  denote agent i's preferences. An equilibrium is a pair  $((a^i)_{i=1,2}, (p_k)_{k=1,2})$  where:  $a^i = (a_1^i, a_2^i)$  is a bundle and  $p = (p_1, p_2)$  is the price vector, such that: 1. *a* is feasible:  $\sum a^i = \sum e^i$ . 2. *a* minimizes  $x_1$  in  $\{x \mid x \geq^1 e^1 \text{ and } p \cdot e^1 \geq p \cdot x\}$ . and  $a^2$  is  $\geq^2$  -optimal in  $\{x \mid p \cdot e^2 \geq p \cdot x\}$ .

# Assume that the agent's indifference curves through the initial endowment cross in exactly one other allocation in the Edgworth box.

#### b. Prove the existence of an equilibrium without using any general theorems,

Denote A to be the initial endowment and B to be the second point at which the indifference curves through A meet again.

If there is a point *C* on agent 1's indifference curve such that agent 2's indifference curve through that point is tangent to the line that connects *A* and *C* (see graph below), then this point is an equilibrium allocation and the price vector is such that the budget line connects these two points. Thus, if agent 1 sells any more of commodity 1 then he crosses his indifference curve that passes through *A* and therefore obtains a worse bundle, while agent 2 maximizes his preferences at *C* given the price vector.

In order to prove existence, first note that the demand of each agent is continuous: agent 1 chooses A on any budget line with slope less than L2 and chooses the point where the budget line crosses his indifference curve through A for any other budget line. Agent 2 has continuous and the classical demand is continuous.

Given L1 (the line that connects A and C), agent 1 chooses B and agent 2 chooses a point to the right of B resulting in excess supply of good 1. Given L2, agent 1 chooses A and agent 2 chooses a point to the left of A, resulting in excess demand for good 1. By the continuity of the demand function, there is a point C where the market for good 1 clears.



# c. Show that the equilibrium is Pareto-efficient. Answer:

For any point on or below agent 2's indifference curve through A, either: (i) agent 1 sells less of commodity 1 or (ii) he obtains a strictly worse bundle than A. Thus, we cannot weakly improve agent 2's situation without hurting agent 1.

# d. Show that without the above assumption, an equilibrium may not be Pareto-efficient.

#### Answer:

Consider the following case:

The agents' preferences are such that (i) agent 1 prefers the upper left corner of the box, i.e. D to A, and (ii) the slope of agent 2's indifference curve through D is greater than that of the line that connects A and D.



In this case, D, is an equilibrium allocation and the price vector is such that the budget line connects A and D. Thus, agent 1 sells his entire endowment of commodity 1 without obtaining a worse bundle and agent 2 maximizes his preferences given his budget constraint. However, this is not a Pareto-efficient allocation since agent 1 is willing to be at E (where he still obtains a bundle as good as the initial one after selling all of his units of commodity 1), which would strictly improve agent 2's situation.

### **Problem 3**

Consider a world in which the grand set *X* is the entire plane and choice sets can only be less than 180 degree closed arcs of the unit circle. Denote a choice set by  $B(\alpha, \beta)$  where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , are the two angles that confine the arc which are numbers between 0 and 360. For example, B(0,90) is one-quarter of a circle contained in the positive quadrant.

a. Give an example of a choice function that does not satisfy the weak axiom of revealed preference.

Answer:

Assume that the agent always chooses the middle of the arc. Then, WA is violated. For example, the agent chooses the middle bundle *x* from the quarter of a circle B(0,60), which lies on the 30° ray, while from the smaller arc B(0,40), which still contains *x*, he chooses the bundle that lies on the 20-degree ray.

# b. Give an example of a choice function that satisfies the weak axiom of revealed preference and yet is not rationalizable.

#### Answer:

Assume that the agent always chooses the leftmost bundle on the arc (looking from the origin). The bundles chosen in B(0, 120), B(120, 240) and B(240, 0) create a cycle.

However, WA is never violated: Assume that the agent chooses x while y is another point on the arc. Since each arc is less than  $180^{\circ}$  and x is the leftmost point on the arc, we can conclude that y is less than  $180^{\circ}$  right of x. Therefore, x is more than  $180^{\circ}$  right of y. Thus, if y is chosen as the leftmost point on a given arc, then x cannot be on that arc as well. Thus, if x is revealed to be preferred to y, then y is never revealed to be preferred to x.

Assume now that the choice sets are only arcs in the positive quadrant (i.e. the two angles that define the choice sets are between  $0^{\circ}$  and  $90^{\circ}$ ) and that the agent maximizes a monotonic, continuous and strictly convex preference relation.

#### c. Show that the agent's choice function is well defined.

The choice set is compact and therefore the continuity of the preferences implies that a solution to the agent's problem in  $B(\alpha, \beta)$  does exist.

Assume, in contradiction, that the two alternatives *x* and *y* are solutions. Then,  $x \sim y$  and by the strict convexity of the preferences,  $\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y \succ y$ . However,  $\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y$  is strictly below the budget set and by the monotonicity of the preferences there exists a bundle on  $B(\alpha, \beta)$  that is strictly preferred to  $\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y$ . By transitivity, this bundle is also preferred to *y*. A contradiction.

# d. Explain how one could identify the agent's choice function from the indirect preference relation (defined over the parameters of the choice sets).

Consider  $B(\alpha, \beta)$ . Let  $\alpha^*$  be the maximum angle *x* between  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that  $B(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $B(x, \beta)$  are indifferent according to the indirect preferences. Then, the point on  $B(\alpha, \beta)$  at angle  $\alpha^*$  is the chosen alternative from  $B(\alpha, \beta)$ .