

**Eco 501, Solutions for the Midterm (November 2001)**

1. (a) The utility function is  $\alpha v(x) + (1 - \alpha)w(x)$ . It is concave as a convex combination of concave functions, thus it is quasi-concave so the induced preference is convex.
- (b) Let  $x(p, w)$  denote the demand and  $f, f_v$  and  $f_w$  the indirect utility functions. Then,  $f(p, w) = \alpha v(x(p, w)) + (1 - \alpha)w(x(p, w)) \leq \alpha f_v(p, w) + (1 - \alpha)f_w(p, w)$ .
- (c) Suppose that  $v$  and  $w$  are continuous and monotonic so that  $f, f_v$  and  $f_w$  are strictly increasing and continuous in  $w$ . Then for given  $(p, w)$ , by 1(b), there is a unique  $\beta^*(p, w)$  that satisfies:

$$f(p, w) = \alpha f_v(p, w - \beta^*(p, w)) + (1 - \alpha)f_w(p, w - \beta^*(p, w)).$$

The demand for this good is 1 if its price is below  $\beta^*(p, w)$  and 0 otherwise.

2. (a) Let us say that  $\succsim_1$  is close to  $\succsim_0$  more than  $\succsim_2$  is close to  $\succsim_0$  if  $x \succsim_2 [\succ_2] y$  and  $x \succsim_0 [\succ_0] y$  implies  $x \succsim_1 [\succ_1] y$ .
  - (b) Let  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . If  $U_2(x) \geq [\succ] U_2(y)$  and  $U_0(x) \geq [\succ] U_0(y)$ , then  $U_1(x) = tU_2(x) + (1 - t)U_0(x) \geq [\succ] tU_2(y) + (1 - t)U_0(y) = U_1(y)$ . So the preference induced by  $U_1$  is close to the preference induced by  $U_0$  more than the preference induced by  $U_2$  is close to the preference induced by  $U_0$ .
  - (c) No, let  $K = 3$ ,  $U_0(x) = \min\{x_1, x_2\}$  and  $U_2(x) = \min\{x_2, x_3\}$  and let  $U_1$  be defined as in 2(b) with  $t = \frac{1}{2}$ . Then for  $p = (1, 1, 1)$  and  $w = 1$ , the demands associated with  $U_0, U_1$  and  $U_2$  are  $x^0 = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0)$ ,  $x^1 = (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$  and  $x^2 = (0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  respectively. By 2(b), the preference induced by  $U_1$  is close to the preference induced by  $U_0$  more than the preference induced by  $U_2$  is close to the preference induced by  $U_0$ , but  $|x_2^1 - x_2^0| = \frac{1}{6} > 0 = |x_2^2 - x_2^0|$ .
3. (a) No, let  $X = \{x, y, z, w\}$  with  $x \succ y, z \succ w$  and  $class(x) = class(y) \neq class(z) = class(w)$ . Then,  $C(\{x, y, z\}) = x$  whereas  $C(\{x, z, w\}) = z$  violating condition (\*).
  - (b) No, let us say that a choice function  $C$  satisfies the property  $\gamma$ , if  $x \notin A$  and  $x = C(\{x\} \cup A)$  implies  $x = C(\{x\} \cup A \setminus \{C(A)\})$ . Now assume that  $C$  is the choice function induced by the procedure explained above,  $x \notin A$  and  $x = C(\{x\} \cup A)$ . Let  $y = C(A)$ . Since  $y = C(A)$ ,  $class(y)$  is the most populated class with the highest class number in  $A$ . So if  $class(x) = class(y)$ , then this is the most populated class with the highest class number in  $\{x\} \cup A \setminus \{y\}$ . Since  $x = C(\{x\} \cup A)$ ,  $class(x)$  is the most populated class with the highest class number in  $\{x\} \cup A$ . So if  $class(x) \neq class(y)$ , then  $class(x)$  is the most populated class with the highest class number in  $\{x\} \cup A \setminus \{y\}$ . In either case,  $class(x)$  is the most populated class with the highest class number in  $\{x\} \cup A \setminus \{y\}$ . So  $x = C(\{x\} \cup A)$  implies  $x = C(\{x\} \cup A \setminus \{y\})$ . Thus,  $C$  satisfies property  $\gamma$  which is clearly not satisfied by all choice functions.